Empleo de un nuevo protocolo de extraccion y disminucion de las falsas hiperprolactinemias.

Robles R., Castano L.

Servicio de Analisis Clinicos, Hospital Juan Ramon Jimenez, Huelva, España.

INTRODUCTION: Numerous authors have reported that prolactin measurement is influenced by several factors and consequently the values obtained may not faithfully reflect the physiological reality of the individual studied. Unless a series of measures is adopted, especially in the preanalytic stage, values may be falsely elevated. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the extent to which optimization or non-optimization of the extraction procedure translates into higher results and how reports expressed in terms of monomeric (biologically active) prolactin could be crucial to adopt a diagnosis and therapeutic approach.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: We performed two extractions in each woman (following the protocol universally used for this kind of analysis): one through direct puncture and another 60 min later without a new puncture (a catheter was inserted in the site of the first puncture and kept permeable by salinization). The monomeric fraction was then studied, if required.

RESULTS: A statistically significant difference was observed between the 2 extractions. The monomeric fraction was three times lower in the second extraction than in the first.

DISCUSSION: The results of this study justify systematic use of extraction techniques that avoid the stress of venous puncture, as well as the use of the term biologically active prolactin [monomeric (little) prolactin fraction] in reports.

Endocrinol Nutr. 2010 Aug-Sep;57(7): 296-300. Epub 2010 Jun 9.